

1 unclear. Did it remain sealed?

2 THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Was it reviewed by
4 you and/or the Mayor?

5 THE WITNESS: No, neither one of us.

6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

7 Q Let me take you back to September 17,
8 2008. Do you recall having any discussion with
9 Officer Zeveney on that date?

10 A Yes, I do.

11 Q What was the nature of that discussion?

12 A He came into my office -- actually, it started
13 on September 12th where he came into my office and he
14 actually notified me that he had gotten money and
15 permission from the Township Committee to seek an
16 attorney on his own to actually defend him, because
17 he was being removed from the PBA. So on the 12th of
18 September he went down and met -- he was telling me
19 he was going down to meet with an attorney by the
20 name of Don Morrow, and it was on the 17th that he
21 came back to me as a result of that meeting on the
22 12th.

23 Q Let me ask you this: With regard to his
24 being removed from the PBA, he had not as yet been

1 removed from the PBA?

2 A At that time, no.

3 Q Is the PBA the bargaining unit?

4 A Yes.

5 Q So in effect, they were removing an
6 individual from the bargaining unit?

7 A That is correct.

8 Q As well as removing him from the PBA?

9 A That is correct.

10 Q So on the 17th when he came to you, what
11 was the nature of that conversation?

12 A He advised me that Mr. Morrow had advised him to
13 secure certain documents to help him with his case,
14 and at the time of securing those documents, he came
15 across some information that he wanted to make me
16 aware of.

17 Q What was the nature of that information?

18 A He advised me that he came across an incident
19 where Officer Wahba was involved with another
20 officer, and there was an issue with some summonses
21 that were missing from the court, or had never been
22 delivered to the court, and that is what he wanted to
23 make me aware of.

24 Q Had he indicated he had met with anybody

1 prior to that on the 17th, prior to talking to you?

2 A No, other than Mr. Morrow, that was it.

3 Q What did you do then?

4 A Based on the information that he gave me, what
5 he was stating was possibly criminal in nature, and
6 so I advised him that he was going to assist me and
7 go down to the Prosecutor's Office and we would be
8 going to give the information to the Prosecutor's
9 Office.

10 Q And did you go to the Prosecutor's Office
11 that day?

12 A Yes, we did, in the afternoon on the 17th.

13 Q And at that time were you keeping kind of
14 a log book as to what you did on a daily basis?

15 A It is kind of like a diary, just some highlights
16 or a need to have a recollection of what I did if I
17 had to go back. And basically, it is just my own
18 notes, so I knew if I had to ever go back, or if I
19 was ever questioned by the Township Committee, I
20 could let them know, since I don't provide them with
21 a schedule of what I do, just the highlights of what
22 I did on any particular day.

23 Q And did you note that on the 17th that you
24 went to the Prosecutor's Office?

1 A I believe I did. I don't have it in front of
2 me, but I believe I did.

3 THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't have a
4 reference as to how you marked that.

5 MR. O'REILLY: It is not a joint exhibit,
6 that is for sure.

7 Q You have been shown what is marked as
8 J-1. Was there a notation --

9 A That I went to the Prosecutor's Office, yes,
10 that is correct.

11 Q And at that time do you recall who you
12 saw?

13 A Yes, it was the chief of detectives, Chief
14 Hurley, and there was an Internal Affairs
15 investigator, Ken Rowe, in the room.

16 Q Now, the incident that Officer Zeveney
17 made you aware of, when did that specifically occur,
18 do you remember?

19 A I believe it was back on April 27, 2005.

20 Q And do you recall an incident between
21 Officer Zeveney and Officer Wahba back at that time
22 period?

23 A Yes, I do.

24 Q And what was the nature of that incident?